

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,958	09/19/2003	Wilhelm Arns	22663	7079
535	7590 02/08/2006		EXAM	INER
THE FIRM OF KARL F ROSS			SUHOL, DMITRY	
5676 RIVERDALE AVENUE PO BOX 900		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RIVERDALE (BRONX), NY 10471-0900			3725	

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
055 4 45 0 0 000	10/666,958	ARNS, WILHELM			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dmitry Suhol	3725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	January 2006.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	on is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the l	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		`			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	, , , ,	nd.			
dee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receive	s u.			
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/19/03</u>. 	8) 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/666,958 Page 2

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Germany on 10/2/2002. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the German parent application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (claims 1-4) in the reply filed on January 10th, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the product of claim 5 may not be made by a different process with any degree of certainty. This is not found persuasive because the structural features of the product of claim 5 are: a component of metal...having over its length regions of different wall thickness, where the remainder of the claim falls into a product by process category and/or features in the preamble (not given patentable weight). Therefore, the actual claimed product (a metal component with different wall thickness throughout it's length) may be formed by a variety of different processes as stated in the examiners election/restriction requirement dated 12/20/2005 and in no way required the particulars of the method steps of claims 1-4.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 3, the phrase "for the cutting in step (b)" makes it is not clear as to the location and manufacturing timing of the "formation". In other words it is not clear if the "formations" of claim 3 are simply the markings of claim 2 since it appears that they are used for the cutting step (b). For purposes of examination it is assumed that they are the same.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasegawa et al '072. Hasegawa discloses a method for the manufacture of rolled strip segments (leaf springs) of different wall thickness containing most of the claimed elements including, rolling a metal strip so as to form along a length thereof rolled strip segments of different wall thickness (figures 7-8 and figure 20), cutting sheet bars from

Art Unit: 3725

the rolled strip (cutting done by device 102). The step of position marking for cutting as required by claim 2, is shown as mark (K) provided by marking tool (93). Regarding claims 3 and 4, as best understood the corrugated formations are disclosed as marks (K) described in col. 17, lines 50-52.

Hasegawa lacks the teaching of a reshaping step of each sheet bar to a final configuration in at least one forming tool as required by claim 1 and hardening the shaped sheet bar in the hot forming tool as required by claim 1. However, Komarnitsky discloses a method for the formation of leaf springs, which teaches that it is known to incorporate a reshaping step for spring leaf sheet bars (65) to a final configuration in at least one hot-forming tool and hardening the shaped sheet bar in the hot forming tool (figures 8-10) in order to strengthen the spring by relieving stresses and tension as well as harden the spring.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dmitry Suhol whose telephone number is 571-272-4430. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (571) 272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dmitry Suhol Examiner Art Unit 3725 Page 5

ds